

PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP
JOHN M. GRENFELL (CA Bar No. 88500)
john.grenfell@pillsburylaw.com
JACOB R. SORENSEN (CA Bar No. 209134)
jake.sorensen@pillsburylaw.com
FUSAE NARA (*pro hac vice*)
fusae.nara@pillsburylaw.com
ANDREW D. LANPHERE (CA Bar No. 191479)
andrew.lanphere@pillsburylaw.com
50 Fremont Street
San Francisco, CA 94105
Telephone: (415) 983-1000
Facsimile: (415) 983-1200

Attorneys for Defendants
SHARP CORPORATION and
SHARP ELECTRONICS CORPORATION

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

IN RE: TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL)
ANTITRUST LITIGATION

Master File No. 3:07-md-1827 SI
MDL No. 1827

This Document Relates To:

Case No. 2010-CV-3517 SI

STATE OF FLORIDA, OFFICE OF THE
ATTORNEY GENERAL, DEPARTMENT
OF LEGAL AFFAIRS,

Plaintiff,

v.

AU Optronics Corporation, *et al.*,

Defendants.

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
ORDER EXTENDING SHARP'S TIME
TO ANSWER AMENDED
COMPLAINT**

1 WHEREAS plaintiff State of Florida filed an Amended Complaint in the above-
2 captioned case against Defendants AU Optronics Corporation, AU Optronics Corporation
3 America, Chi Mei Innolux Corp., CMO Japan Co., Ltd., Chi Mei Optoelectronics USA,
4 Inc., Hannstar Display Corporation, Hitachi Ltd., Hitachi Displays, Ltd., Hitachi Electronic
5 Devices (USA), Inc., LG Display Co., Ltd., LG Display America Inc., Samsung Electronics
6 Co., Ltd., Samsung Electronics America, Inc., Samsung Semiconductor, Inc., Sharp
7 Corporation, Sharp Electronics Corporation, Toshiba Corporation, Toshiba Mobile Display
8 Technology Co., Ltd., Toshiba America Information Systems, Inc., and Toshiba America
9 Electronic Components, Inc. on April 13, 2011, Dkt. no. 2652;

10 WHEREAS Sharp Corporation and Sharp Electronics Corporation (together,
11 “Sharp”), jointly with other defendants in this action, filed a motion to dismiss the
12 Amended Complaint on May 20, 2011;

13 WHEREAS the Court denied defendants’ joint motion to dismiss the Amended
14 Complaint on September 15, 2011;

15 WHEREAS Sharp desires a reasonable amount of time to answer the Amended
16 Complaint;

17 WHEREAS the Court previously entered an Order extending the time to answer the
18 Amended Complaint on October 7, 2011, as stipulated by Plaintiff and Sharp; and

19 WHEREAS the requested time modification will not affect any other deadline in
20 this case.

21 THEREFORE, the State of Florida and Sharp hereby agree that Sharp’s deadline to
22 answer to the Amended Complaint shall be November 28, 2011.

23 Dated: November 7, 2011
24
25
26
27
28

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF
THE STATE OF FLORIDA

By: /s/ Nicholas J. Weilhammer

R. Scott Palmer
Lizabeth A. Brady
Nicholas J. Weilhammer (*pro hac vice*)
Office of the Attorney General
State of Florida
PL-01, The Capitol
Tallahassee, FL 32399-1050
(850) 414-3300 (Phone)
(850) 488-9134 (Facsimile)
Nicholas.weilhammer@myfloridalegal.com

PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP
JOHN M. GRENFELL
JACOB R. SORENSEN
FUSAE NARA
ANDREW D. LANPHERE
50 Fremont Street
San Francisco, CA 94105

By: /s/ John M. Grenfell
John M. Grenfell

Attorneys for Defendants SHARP CORPORATION
and SHARP ELECTRONICS CORPORATION

ATTESTATION: Pursuant to General Order 45, Part X-B, the filer attests that the
concurrence of the other signatory hereto has been obtained.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 11/8/11



Honorable Susan Illston